

Appl No. 09/964,039
Amdt. dated November 2, 2005
Reply to Office action of August 2, 2005

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Claims 1-18 are pending. Claims 1, 4, 5, 6, 9, 10, 13, 14 and 15 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112 2nd paragraph as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim. The examiner has acknowledged that claims 1-18 are directed to allowable subject matter and would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 112, 2nd paragraph.


Claims 1, 9 and 10 were rejected for reciting the limitation "said corresponding throughput," for which there was insufficient antecedent basis. Claims 4, 5, 6, 13 and 15 were rejected for reciting "said throughput time" for which there was insufficient antecedent basis. Claims 6 and 15 were objected to for the abbreviations "SIFS" and "DIFS." Accordingly, claims 1, 4-6, 10, 13 and 15 were amended to overcome these rejections and objections.

In view of the foregoing, the application as currently amended should now be in condition for allowance and a Notice of Allowance is earnestly solicited. If there are any fees necessitated by the foregoing communication, please charge such fees to our Deposit Account No. 50-0902, referencing our Docket No. 72255-10437.

Date: November 2, 2005

Respectfully submitted,

TUCKER, ELLIS & WEST


Larry B. Donovan
Registration No. 47,230
1150 Huntington Building
925 Euclid Avenue
Cleveland, Ohio 44115-1475
Customer No. 23380
(216) 696-3864 (phone)
(216) 592-5009 (fax)